

# SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT

Customer:Crypto BladesPrepared on:26/03/2021Platform:BinanceLanguage:Solidity

# Table of contents

Document	3
Introduction	4
Project Scope	4
Executive Summary	5
Code Quality	6
Documentation	6
Use of Dependencies	7
AS-IS overview	7
Severity Definitions	10
Audit Findings	11
Conclusion	12
Our Methodology	13
Disclaimers	15

THIS DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT ITS SYSTEMS AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CUSTOMER AS WELL AS INFORMATION ABOUT POTENTIAL VULNERABILITIES AND METHODS OF THEIR EXPLOITATION.

THE REPORT CONTAINING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION CAN BE USED INTERNALLY BY THE CUSTOMER OR IT CAN BE DISCLOSED PUBLICLY AFTER ALL VULNERABILITIES ARE FIXED - UPON DECISION OF CUSTOMER.

## Document

Name	Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis Report for Crypto Blades
Platform	Binance/Solidity
File	CoinToken.sol
MD5 hash	BDF1187CAC09A73C9AB9B51255DC72F9
SHA256 hash	17E3FECD08BAD0ED9CFEE4EA1F5D85DAFF212A684616C B6E0782231F60475DAA
Date	26/03/2021

## Introduction

RD Auditors (Consultant) was contracted by Crypto Blades (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contracts Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of Customer's smart contracts and its code review conducted between March 25, 2021 – March 26, 2021.

This contract consists of 1 file.

## **Project Scope**

The scope of the project is a smart contract.

We have scanned this smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities, below are those considered (the full list includes but not limited to):

- Reentrancy
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with (Unexpected) Throw
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Byte array vulnerabilities
- Style guide violation
- Transfer forwards all gas
- ERC20 API violation
- Malicious libraries
- Compiler version not fixed
- Unchecked external call Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference
- Implicit visibility level

# **Executive Summary**

According to the assessment, the customer's solidity smart contract is secured.



Automated checks are with smartDec, Mythril, Slither and remix IDE. All issues were performed by our team, which included the analysis of code functionality, manual audit found during automated analysis were manually reviewed and applicable vulnerabilities are presented in the audit overview section. The general overview is presented in the AS-IS section and all issues found are located in the audit overview section.

We found 0 critical, 0 high, 0 medium, 0 low and 0 very low level issues.

## **Code Quality**

CoinToken consists of a single smart contract file. This also contains safeMath, this is a compact and well written contract.

The library in the CoinToken is part of its logical algorithm. A library is a different type of smart contract that contains reusable code. Once deployed on the blockchain (only once), it is assigned a specific address and its properties / methods can be reused many times by other contracts in the CryptoBladesSkillToken.

The Crypto Blades has **not** provided scenario and unit test scripts, which would help to determine the integrity of the code in an automated way.

Overall, the code is well commented. Commenting can provide rich documentation for functions, return variables and more. Use of Ethereum Natural Language Specification Format (NatSpec) for commenting is recommended.

## **Documentation**

We were given CoinToken in the form of a github link: https://bscscan.com/address/0x154a9f9cbd3449ad22fdae23044319d6ef2a1 fab#code

The hash of those files are mentioned in the table. As mentioned, It's well commented smart contract code, so anyone can quickly understand the programming flow as well as complex code logic. Comments are very helpful in understanding the overall architecture of the protocol. It also provides a clear overview of the system components, including helpful details, like the lifetime of the background script.

## **Use of Dependencies**

Core code blocks are written well and systematically. No other dependencies except safeMath.

## **AS-IS** overview

#### **CoinToken overview**

It is a simple ERC20 token contract.

# **File And Function Level Report**

Contract: Ownable Observation: All passed including security check Test Report: passed Score: passed Conclusion: passed

SI.	Function	Туре	Observation	Test	Conclusion	Score
				Report		
1	transfeOwnership		Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed

Contract: Pausable Inherit: ownable Observation: All passed including security check Test Report: passed

Score: passed

**Conclusion:** passed

SI.	Function	Туре	Observation	Test Report	Conclusion	Score
1	Pause	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
2	unpause	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed

Contract: ERC20 Inherit: ERC20Basic Observation: All passed including security check Test Report: passed Score: passed Conclusion: passed

SI.	Function	Туре	Observation	Test Report	Conclusion	Score
1	allowance	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
2	transfer From	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
3	approve	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed

Contract: StandardToken Inherit: ERC20 Observation: All passed including security check Test Report: passed Score: passed Conclusion: passed

SI.	Function	Туре	Observation	Test Report	Conclusion	Score
1	transfer	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
2	balanceOf	read	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
3	transferFrom	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
4	approve	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
5	allowance	read	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
6	increaseApproval	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
7	decreaseApproval	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
8	_blackList	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed

#### Contract: PausableToken Inherit: standardToken, Pausable Observation: All passed including security check Test Report: passed Score: passed Conclusion: passed

SI.	Function	Туре	Observation	Test Report	Conclusion	Score
1	transfer	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
2	transferFrom	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
3	approve	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
4	increaseApproval	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
5	decreaseApproval	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
6	blackListAddress	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed

Contract: CoinToken Inherit: PausableToken Observation: All passed including security check Test Report: passed Score: passed Conclusion: passed

SI.	Function	Туре	Observation	Test Report	Conclusion	Score
1	burn	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
2	_burn	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
3	mint	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed

# **Severity Definitions**

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to tokens loss etc.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g. public access to crucial functions
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to tokens lose
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused etc. code snippets, that can't have significant impact on execution
Lowest / Code Style / Best Practice	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.

# **Audit Findings**

#### Critical

No critical severity vulnerabilities were found.

#### High

No high severity vulnerabilities were found.

#### Medium

No Medium severity vulnerabilities were found.

Low

No Low severity vulnerabilities were found.

#### Very Low

No very Low severity vulnerabilities were found.

#### **Discussion:**

1) In safeMath assert should be replaced by require.

2) The lower compiler version is a little vulnerable to some compiler oriented bugs (like memory overlap on fixed size arrays), which are normally fixed on later versions. so it is good to use the latest stable version to be on the safe side.

### Conclusion

We were given contract files. And we have used all possible tests based on the given object. The contracts are written systematically . We found no critical issues So it is good to go for production.

Since possible test cases can be unlimited and developer level documentation (code flow diagram with function level description) not provided, for such an extensive smart contract protocol, so we provide no such guarantee of future outcomes. We have used all the latest static tools and manual observations to cover maximum possible test cases to scan everything.

Security state of reviewed contract is "secured".

## **Our Methodology**

We like to work with a transparent process and make our reviews a collaborative effort. The goals of our security audits are to improve the quality of systems we review and aim for sufficient remediation to help protect users. The following is the methodology we use in our security audit process.

#### Manual Code Review:

In manually reviewing all of the code, we look for any potential issues with code logic, error handling, protocol and header parsing, cryptographic errors, and random number generators. We also watch for areas where more defensive programming could reduce the risk of future mistakes and speed up future audits. Although our primary focus is on the in-scope code, we examine dependency code and behavior when it is relevant to a particular line of investigation.

#### Vulnerability Analysis:

Our audit techniques included manual code analysis, user interface interaction, and whitebox penetration testing. We look at the project's web site to get a high level understanding of what functionality the software under review provides. We then meet with the developers to gain an appreciation of their vision of the software. We install and use the relevant software, exploring the user interactions and roles. While we do this, we brainstorm threat models and attack surfaces. We read design documentation, review other audit results, search for similar projects, examine source code dependencies, skim open issue tickets, and generally investigate details other than the implementation.

#### **Documenting Results:**

We follow a conservative, transparent process for analyzing potential security vulnerabilities and seeing them through successful remediation. Whenever a potential issue is discovered, we immediately create an Issue entry for it in this document, even though we have not yet verified the feasibility and impact of the issue. This process is conservative because we document our suspicions early even if they are later shown to not represent exploitable vulnerabilities. We generally follow a process of first documenting the suspicion with unresolved questions, then confirming the issue through code analysis, live experimentation, or automated tests. Code analysis is the most tentative, and we strive to provide test code, log captures, or screenshots demonstrating our confirmation. After this we analyze the feasibility of an attack in a live system.

#### **Suggested Solutions:**

We search for immediate mitigations that live deployments can take, and finally we suggest the requirements for remediation engineering for future releases. The mitigation and remediation recommendations should be scrutinized by the developers and deployment engineers, and successful mitigation and remediation is an ongoing collaborative process after we deliver our report, and before the details are made public.

# **Disclaimers**

#### **RD Auditors Disclaimer**

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to: cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report, (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment and functionality (performing the intended functions).

Because the total number of test cases are unlimited, so the audit makes no statements or warranties on security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bugfree status or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure security of smart contracts.

#### **Technical Disclaimer**

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have their own vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee explicit security of the audited smart contracts.

